arXiv:hep-th/9305141v1 26 May 1993

Preprint IFUNAM
FT 93-016
May 1993

Lagrangian Formulation of the Joos-Weinberg's $2(2S+1)-$ theory and Its Connection with the Skew-Symmetric Tensor Description

V. V. Dvoeglazov[♯,†]

Departamento de Física Teórica, Instituto de Física,
Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México,
Apartado Postal 20-364, 01000 D.F. , MEXICO

Abstract

In the framework of the $2(2S+1)-$ theory of Joos-Weinberg for massless particles, the dynamical invariants have been derived from the Lagrangian density which is considered to be a 4– vector. A lá Majorana interpretation of the 6– component spinors, the field operators of $S=1$ particles, as the left– and right–circularly polarized radiation, leads us to the conserved quantities which are analogous to ones obtained by Lipkin and Sudbery.

The scalar Lagrangian of Joos-Weinberg theory is shown to be equivalent to the Lagrangian of a free massless field, introduced by Hayashi. As a consequence of a new "gauge" invariance this skew-symmetric field describes physical particles with the longitudinal components only.

Submitted to "Journal of Physics A"

KEYWORDS: quantum electrodynamics, Lorentz group representation, string theory, high-spin particles, bivector
PACS: 03.50.De, 11.10.Ef, 11.10.Qr, 11.17+y, 11.30.Cp

[♯]On leave from: *Dept.Theor. & Nucl.Phys., Saratov State University and Sci. & Tech. Center for Control and Use of Physical Fields and Radiations Astrakhanskaya str. , 83, Saratov 410071 RUSSIA*

[†] Email: VALERI@IFUNAM.IFISICACU.UNAM.MX
DVOEGLAZOV@MAIN1.JINR.DUBNA.SU

The investigation of relativistic high-spin fields is very important in the connection of the present experimental situation when the particles of spin up to 6 were found out [1]:

Meson	$f_6(2510)$	$I^G = 0^+$	$J^{PC} = 6^{++}$
Baryon	$\Delta(2420), H_{3,11}$	$I = \frac{3}{2}$	$J^P = \frac{11}{2}^+$
Baryon	$N(2600), I_{1,11}$	$I = \frac{1}{2}$	$J^P = \frac{11}{2}^-$

In the beginning of the sixties Joos [2], Weinberg [3] and Weaver, Hammer, and Good [4] have developed a description of free particles with an arbitrary spin $S = 0, \frac{1}{2}, 1, \frac{3}{2} \cdots$ on the base of Wigner's ideas [5] of constructing quantum field theory. Following this description, the spin-one case [6]-[8] as well as the spin-$\frac{3}{2}$ case [9] have been investigated in detail. The formulas for the Hamiltonian for any spin have also been obtained [10, 11][1]. The wave functions in this approach form the basis of the $(S,0)\oplus(0,S)$ representation of the Lorentz group and are presented by the $2(2S+1)$–component spinor:

$$\Psi = \begin{pmatrix} \chi_\sigma \\ \phi_\sigma \end{pmatrix}, \tag{1}$$

The transformation rules

$$\begin{cases} \chi_\sigma(\vec{p}) = exp\left(+\theta\hat{\vec{p}}\hat{\vec{J}}\right)\chi_\sigma(0), \\ \phi_\sigma(\vec{p}) = exp\left(-\theta\hat{\vec{p}}\hat{\vec{J}}\right)\phi_\sigma(0) \end{cases} \tag{2}$$

(with θ is the boost parameter, $tanh\theta = \frac{|\vec{p}|}{E}$, $\hat{\vec{p}} = \frac{\vec{p}}{|\vec{p}|}$, $\hat{\vec{J}}$ is the angular momentum operator) represent generalization of the well–known Lorentz boosts for the Dirac particle. It was noted in Ref. [3b, p. 888] that the equation for this spinor:

$$(\gamma_{\mu\nu}p_\mu p_\nu + m^2)\Psi = 0 \tag{3}$$

can be transformed to the equations for left– and right–circularly polarized radiation when massless $S = 1$ field being considered. Otherwords, we come to the Maxwell's free-space equations (Eqs. (4.21) and (4.22) of Ref. [3b]):

$$\begin{cases} \vec{\nabla}\times[\vec{E}-i\vec{H}] + i(\partial/\partial t)[\vec{E}-i\vec{H}] = 0, \\ \vec{\nabla}\times[\vec{E}+i\vec{H}] - i(\partial/\partial t)[\vec{E}+i\vec{H}] = 0, \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

---

[1] I would like to mention the recent articles concerning this formalism [12]-[14].

if we consider (1) as the Dirac "bivector" [2] which can be decomposed in Pauli algebra as e.g. [16]:

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\chi = \quad \vec{E} + i\vec{H}, \\ \phi = -\vec{E} + i\vec{H}\end{array}\right. \tag{5}$$

($\vec{E}$ and $\vec{H}$ are Pauli vectors). In fact, this is the formulation a lá Majorana [17]-[19][3].

Attempts at describing the electromagnetic field in the terms of electric and magnetic field vectors $\vec{E}, \vec{H}$ (but not a potential) as independent variables, or, equivalently, antisymmetric strength tensors, have been undertaken recently [21]-[23]. For example, in Ref. [23] the 4–vector Lagrangian density:

$$\mathcal{L}_\alpha =^* F^{\mu\nu}\partial_\nu F_{\mu\alpha} - F^{\mu\nu}\partial_\nu {}^*F_{\mu\alpha} - 2^* F_{\alpha\mu} j^\mu \tag{6}$$

($F_{\mu\nu}$ is the electromagnetic field tensor, ${}^*F_{\mu\nu} = \epsilon_{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}F^{\rho\sigma}$ is its dual, j^μ is the electromagnetic current 4–vector) has been used to determine the new conserved quantities analogous to the quantities deduced from Lipkin's tensor [24]. The remarkable feature of this formulation is that energy-momentum conservation is associated not with translational invariance but with invariance under duality rotations.

In present article the similar properties are shown out for the Lagrangian density of Joos-Weinberg theory. Following [23], the Lagrangian is chosen as the 4–vector[4]:

$$\mathcal{L}_\alpha = -i\bar{\Psi}\gamma_{\alpha\beta}\partial_\beta\Psi + i(\partial_\beta\bar{\Psi})\gamma_{\alpha\beta}\Psi. \tag{7}$$

On the variational principle of the stationary action the above Lagrangian leads to Euler–Lagrange equations:

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\gamma_{\alpha\beta}\partial_\beta\Psi = 0, \\ (\partial_\beta\bar{\Psi})\gamma_{\alpha\beta} = 0,\end{array}\right. \tag{8}$$

which are, in fact, the Eqs.$(4, 4')$ of Ref. [17b]. When $\alpha = 4$ Eqs.(8) are rewritten to Eqs. (4), whereas when $\alpha = i = 1, 2, 3$ we come to:

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\epsilon_{ikl}\frac{\partial E_l}{\partial t} + \partial_k H_i - \partial_i H_k + (\partial_j H_j)\delta_{ik} = 0, \\ \epsilon_{ikl}\frac{\partial H_l}{\partial t} + \partial_i E_k - \partial_k E_i - (\partial_j E_j)\delta_{ik} = 0,\end{array}\right. \tag{9}$$

whose symmetric and antisymmetric parts give the usual four Maxwell's equations. Let us mark the coincidence of these equations with Eqs. on p.L34 of Ref. [23] as well as with the system of equations (17) in Ref. [26, p.76]:

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l}\frac{\partial\hat{H}}{\partial t} + \vec{\nabla}\wedge\vec{E} - (\vec{\nabla}\vec{E})\delta_{ik} = 0, \\ \frac{\partial\hat{E}}{\partial t} - \vec{\nabla}\wedge\vec{H} + (\vec{\nabla}\vec{H})\delta_{ik} = 0.\end{array}\right. \tag{10}$$

---

[2] See also [15, p.149] for discussion about interpretation of components of field transforming on the $(S, 0) \oplus (0, S)$ representation of the Lorentz group.

[3] See [20] for discussion about connection of $2(2S+1)$– component multispinor $\Psi = (\Psi_{\alpha_1 \ldots \alpha_{2S}})$, submitted to the massless Bargmann-Wigner equation, with the antisymmetric field tensor $F_{\mu_1\nu_1\ldots\mu_S\nu_S}$.

[4] See [25] for the details of vector Lagrangian description.

Here hats above E and H designate volutors.

The use of the proposed Lagrangian (7) gives the opportunity to receive dynamical invariants:

1)The energy-momentum tensor has the following form:

$$T_{\alpha}^{\mu\nu} = \mathcal{L}_{\alpha}\delta_{\mu\nu} + i\bar{\Psi}\gamma_{\alpha\nu}\partial_{\mu}\Psi - i(\partial_{\mu}\bar{\Psi})\gamma_{\alpha\nu}\Psi. \tag{11}$$

2)The angular momentum tensor is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_{\alpha}^{\nu,\mu\beta} &= x_{\mu}T_{\alpha}^{\nu\beta} - x_{\beta}T_{\alpha}^{\nu\mu} + \\ + \quad & i\bar{\Psi}\gamma_{\alpha\nu}A_{\mu\beta}^{\Psi}\Psi - i\bar{\Psi}A_{\mu\beta}^{\bar{\Psi}}\gamma_{\alpha\nu}\Psi \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

(with $A_{\mu\beta}^{\Psi}$ and $A_{\mu\beta}^{\bar{\Psi}}$ are the generators of the Lorentz transformations).
And, finally,

3)the current tensor is equal to

$$J_{\alpha}^{\mu} = -2\bar{\Psi}\gamma_{\alpha\mu}\Psi \tag{13}$$

and is obtained as a consequence of gradient transformations:

$$\begin{cases} \Psi = e^{i\theta}\Psi, \\ \bar{\Psi} = \bar{\Psi}e^{-i\theta} \end{cases} \tag{14}$$

(where $\bar{\Psi} = \Psi^{+}\gamma_{44}$), which correspond to the duality rotations:

$$\begin{cases} F_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow F_{\mu\nu}cos\theta +^{*} F_{\mu\nu}sin\theta, \\ {}^{*}F_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow -F_{\mu\nu}sin\theta +^{*} F_{\mu\nu}cos\theta, \end{cases} \tag{15}$$

implemented by Sudbery [23].

Considering Weinberg's spinor in accordance with Eq. (5) and restricting oneself by the first term of Lagrangian (7) [5], we get the following conserved quantities:

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{\{i}{}^{\;4\}4} &= (\vec{E}\vec{\nabla})\vec{H} - (\vec{H}\vec{\nabla})\vec{E} + \vec{E}(\nabla\vec{H}) - \vec{H}(\vec{\nabla}\vec{E}), && (16)\\
T_{\{4}{}^{\;4\}4} &= \vec{E}[\vec{\nabla}\times\vec{H}] - \vec{H}[\vec{\nabla}\times\vec{E}], && (17)\\
T_{\{i}{}^{\;j\}4} &= \nabla \vee \left[\vec{E}\times\vec{H}\right], && (18)\\
T_{[i}{}^{\;4]4} &= -i[(\vec{E}\vec{\nabla})\vec{E} + (\vec{H}\vec{\nabla})\vec{H} + \vec{E}(\vec{\nabla}\vec{E}) + \vec{H}(\vec{\nabla}\vec{H})], && (19)\\
\tilde{T}_{i} &= \frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{ijk}T_{[j}{}^{\;k]4} = \left[(\vec{E}\vec{\nabla})\vec{H} - (\vec{H}\vec{\nabla})\vec{E} + \vec{H}(\vec{\nabla}\vec{E}) - \vec{E}(\vec{\nabla}\vec{H})\right]. && (20)
\end{aligned}$$

The value of $A_{\mu\beta}^{\Psi}$ is shown in [6] to be $A_{\mu\beta}^{\Psi} = -\frac{1}{6}\gamma_{5,\mu\beta}$ and, correspondingly, $A_{\mu\beta}^{\bar{\Psi}} = \frac{1}{6}\gamma_{5,\mu\beta}$. As opposed to [23] we obtained

$$S_{4}^{4,ij} = 0, \tag{21}$$

---

[5] It is possible because in terms of $\vec{E}$ and $\vec{H}$ the both of Eqs. (8), obtained from the first and second terms of (7), lead to the same motion equations. Moreover, the difference of these Lagrangians is equal to the total derivative.

but

$$S_4^{4,4i} = -4\left[\vec{E}\times\vec{H}\right]_i . \tag{22}$$

At last, we have the same expressions for J^μ_α as in Ref. [23]:

$$\begin{aligned} J_{44} &= -2(\vec{E}^2+\vec{H}^2), && (23)\\ J_{4i} &= 4i\epsilon_{ijk}E_jH_k, && (24)\\ J_{ij} &= 2[(\vec{E}^2+\vec{H}^2)\delta_{ij}-E_iE_j-H_iH_j], && (25) \end{aligned}$$

which are the components of energy-momentum tensor in the common-used formulation of QED.

Thus, the gauge transformations of the first kind lead to energy-momentum conservation and the"charge" is identified with the energy density of the field.

The scalar Lagrangian of the Joos-Weinberg's $2(2S+1)-$ theory was presented in [12a, 14b] :

$$\mathcal{L}^{JW} = \partial_\mu\bar{\Psi}\gamma_{\mu\nu}\partial_\nu\Psi + m^2\bar{\Psi}\Psi. \tag{26}$$

Let us note, implying the interpretation of the Weinberg's 6-spinor as in (5), we can rewrite the Lagrangian (26) as following:

$$\mathcal{L}^{JW} = (\partial_\mu F_{\nu\alpha})(\partial_\mu F_{\nu\alpha}) - 2(\partial_\mu F_{\mu\alpha})(\partial_\nu F_{\nu\alpha}) + 2(\partial_\mu F_{\nu\alpha})(\partial_\nu F_{\alpha\mu}). \tag{27}$$

It leads to the Euler-Lagrange equation:

$$\Box F_{\alpha\beta} - 2(\partial_\beta F_{\alpha\mu,\mu} - \partial_\alpha F_{\beta\mu,\mu}) = 0, \tag{28}$$

where $\Box = \partial_\nu\partial_\nu$. The Lagrangian (27) is found out here to be equivalent to the Lagrangian of free massless skew-symmetric field given in [27] [6]:

$$\mathcal{L}^H = \frac{1}{8}F_kF_k, \tag{29}$$

with $F_k = i\epsilon_{kjmn}F_{jm,n}$. It can be rewritten

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}^H &= \frac{1}{4}(\partial_\mu F_{\nu\alpha})(\partial_\mu F_{\nu\alpha}) - \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu F_{\nu\alpha})(\partial_\nu F_{\alpha\mu}) = \\ &= -\frac{1}{4}\mathcal{L}^{JW} - \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu F_{\alpha\mu})(\partial_\nu F_{\alpha\nu}), && (30) \end{aligned}$$

which confirms the above statement, taking into account the possibility of use the Fermi method *mutatis mutandis* as in Ref. [27]. The second term in (27) can be excluded by means of generalized Lorentz condition (which is just well-known Maxwell's motion equations)[7].

---

[6] See also description of closed strings on the base of this Lagrangian in [28, 29].

[7] Let us mention some analogy with the potential formulation of QED. In some sense the Lagrangian (27) corresponds to the choice of "gauge-fixing" parameter $\xi = -1$, $\mathcal{L}^H$ of Ref. [27, formula (5)] corresponds to the "Landau gauge" ($\xi = 0$), and $\mathcal{L}^H$ (formula (9) of cited paper) does to the "Feynman gauge"($\xi = 1$).

In turn the Lagrangian (29) is invariant under new ”gauge” transformations:

$$F_{\mu\nu} \rightarrow F_{\mu\nu} + A_{[\mu\nu]} = F_{\mu\nu} + \partial_\nu \Lambda_\mu - \partial_\mu \Lambda_\nu \qquad (31)$$

The cited paper [27] proves the Lagrangian describes massless particles having the longitudinal physical components only. The transversal components are removed by means of the ”gauge” transformation (31).

If we implement this ”gauge” transformations to the Dirac ”bivector” [8]:

$$F \rightarrow F + e_4 \wedge A_{[4k]} e_k + \frac{i}{2} A_{[jk]} e_j \wedge e_k = F + \frac{1}{2} A_{[\mu\nu]} e_\mu \wedge e_\nu \qquad (32)$$

we can obtain the same result, very surprising in the point of view of Weinberg's theorem about connection between the helicity λ and the Lorentz group representation (A, B), $B - A = \lambda$.

Acknowledgements. I would like to express my sincere gratitude to V G Kadyshevsky, R N Faustov, I G Kaplan, M Moreno, Yu F Smirnov, M Torres, Yu N Tyukhtyaev, E Bautista, S V Khudyakov, A B Klimov and G Loyola for interest in the works and most helpful discussions. Also, I greatly appreciate the technical assistance of A S Rodin and A Wong.

I am most grateful to Prof. A M Cetto, Head of the Departamento de Física Teórica at the IFUNAM, for the creation of excellent conditions for work.

Finally, it should be mentioned that this work has been financially supported by the CONACYT (Mexico) under contract No. 920193.

---

[8] See Ref. [26, p.244] for discussion of Clifford algebra in the Minkowsky space.

References

[1] Particle Data Group 1992 *Review of Particle properties.* Phys. Rev. D **45(part II)**

[2] Joos H 1962 Forts. Phys. **10** 65

[3] Weinberg S 1964 Phys. Rev. **133** B1318; ibid **134** B882; ibid **181** 1893

[4] Weaver D L, Hammer C L and Good R H Jr 1964 Phys. Rev. **135** B241

[5] Wigner E P 1939 Ann. Math. **40** 149; 1962 In *Group Theoretical Concepts and Methods in Elementary Particle Physics. Lectures of the Istanbul Summer School of Theoretical Physics. Ed. Gürsey F. Gordon and Breach, 1964*

[6] Sankaranarayanan A, Good R H 1965 Nuovo Cim. **XXXVI** 1303; 1965 Phys. Rev. **140** B509
Sankaranarayanan A 1965 Nuovo Cim. **XXXVIII** 889

[7] Shay D and Good R H Jr 1969 Phys. Rev.**179** 1410
Krase L D, Pao Lu and Good R H Jr 1971 Phys. Rev. D **3** 1275
Good R H 1989 Ann. Phys.(USA) **196** 1

[8] Tucker R H and Hammer C L 1971 Phys. Rev. D **3** 2448

[9] Shay D, Song H S and Good R H Jr 1965 Nuovo Cim. Suppl. **3** 455

[10] Mathews P M 1966 Phys. Rev. **143** 978

[11] Williams S A, Draayer J P and Weber T A 1966 Phys.Rev. **152** 1207

[12] Santos F D 1986 Phys. Lett. B **175** 110
Santos F D and van Dam H 1986 Phys. Rev. C **34** 250
Amorim A and Santos F D 1991 Preprint **IFM-9-91** Lisboa; 1992 Phys. Lett. **B297** 31

[13] Ahluwalia D V 1991 Ph.D. thesis Texas A&M University
Ahluwalia D V and Ernst D J 1992 Phys. Lett. B **287** 18; 1992 Mod. Phys. Lett. A**7** 1967; 1992 Phys. Rev. C **45** 3010
Ahluwalia D V 1992 Preprint **LA-UR-92-3726** Los Alamos: LANL
Ahluwalia D V and Sawicki M 1992 Preprint **LA-UR-92-3133** Los Alamos: LANL

[14] Dvoeglazov V V and Skachkov N B 1984 JINR Communications **R2-84-199** Dubna: JINR; 1987 JINR Communications **R2-87-882** Dubna: JINR; 1988 Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **48** 1065
Dvoeglazov V V 1987 *Talk at the All-Union Workshop on mathematical problems of statistical mechanics and quantum field theory. Kuibyshev 19-21 May*; 1991 Cand. of Science's (Ph.D.) dissertation Dubna: JINR
Dvoeglazov V V and Khudyakov S V 1993 Voprosi teor. i yadern.fiz. **13**, to be published (in Russian)

[15] Ohnuki Y. 1988 *Unitary representations of the Poincaré group and relativistic wave equations. World Sci. Singapore*

[16] Defaria-Rosa M A, Recami E and Rodrigues W A 1986 Phys. Lett. B **173** 233; ibid **188** 511(E)

[17] Majorana E 1928-32 *Scientific manuscripts*, as reported Recami E, Mignani R and Baldo M 1974 Lett. Nuovo Cim. **11** 568

[18] Chow T L 1981 J. Phys. A **14** 2173

[19] Gianetto E 1985 Lett. Nuovo Cim. **44** 140 145

[20] Doughty N A and Collins G P 1986 J. Phys. A **19** L887; 1986 J. Math. Phys. **27** 1639; 1987 J. Math. Phys. **28** 448

[21] Anderson N and Arthurs A M 1978 Int. J. Electron. **45** 333

[22] Rosen J 1980 Am. J. Phys. **48** 1071

[23] Sudbery A 1986 J. Phys. A **19** L33

[24] Lipkin D M 1964 J. Math. Phys. **5** 696

[25] Fushchich V I, Krivsky I Yu and Simulik V M 1987 Preprint IMAN Ukrainian SSR **87. 54** Kiev: IMAN (in Russian)

[26] Jancewicz B 1988 *Multivectors and Clifford Algebra in Electrodynamics. World Sci. Singapore*

[27] Hayashi K 1973 Phys. Lett. **44B** 497

[28] Kalb M and Ramond P 1974 Phys. Rev. D **9** 2273

[29] Clark T E, Lee C H and Love S T 1988 Nucl. Phys. **B308** 379